



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	OR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,537	07/29/2003	Sandeep Bhatia	CA7034222001	9252	
23639	7590 12/02	2005	EXA	MINER	
BINGHAM, MCCUTCHEN LLP THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER			CHUNG,	CHUNG, PHUNG M	
18 FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4067			2138	2138	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/630,537	BHATIA, SANDEEP				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phung My Chung	2138				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/630,537

Art Unit: 2138

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-14 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Quayle et al (6,694,464).

As per claims 10-11, Quayle et al disclose an apparatus, comprising:

A mean for dividing pins of an integrated circuit into a first group and a second group;

A means for logically associating each pin of the first group to each pin of the second group; and

A means for generating a scan chain in the integrated circuit for each logical association of pins. (See Fig. 11, col. 4, lines 54-65 and col. 17, lines 42-67 to col. 18, lines 1-25).

Application/Control Number: 10/630,537

Art Unit: 2138

As per claim 12, Quayle et al further disclose, wherein the first group has n numbers of pins (1804 PINS), the second group has m number of pins (1868 PINS), and the logical association of pins drives n*m scan chains. (See Fig. 11).

As per claims 13-14, Quayle et al further disclose wherein the means for logically associating comprises:

A means for performing a exclusive OR operation (col. 47, lines 14-49).

As per claims 1-5 and 6-9, these method claims are rejected under similar rationale as set forth in system claims 10-14.

As per claims 19-23, these claims are rejected under similar rationale as set forth in claims 10-14.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 15-18 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quayle et al (6,694,464).

As per claims 15 and 18, Quayle et al disclose an apparatus, comprising:

A mean for dividing pins of an integrated circuit into a first group and a second group;

A means for logically associating each pin of the first group to each pin of the second group; and

A means for generating a scan chain in the integrated circuit for each logical association of pins. (See Fig. 11, col. 4, lines 54-65 and col. 17, lines 42-67 to col. 18, lines 1-25). Quayle et al do not disclose that the logically associating the pins of each group through an ExOR matrix and the ExOR matrix has n dimensions. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the logically associating the pins of Group I and Group II through an ExOR of Quayle et al into an ExOR matrix so that the

Group I and Group II through an ExOR of Quayle et al into an ExOR matrix so that the pins of the first and second Groups can be logically associating through an ExOR matrix in n dimensional of the pins in Group I and Group II as needed.

As per claims 16-17, Quayle et al further disclose a means for generating logical associations (Fig. 11).

As per claims 24-27, these claims are rejected under the similar rationale as set forth in claims 15-18.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung My Chung whose telephone number is 571-272-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,537

Art Unit: 2138

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phung My Chung

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2138